

A Language for Engineering Design

Walter W. Wilson

Lockheed Martin Enterprise Information Systems
& The University of Texas at Arlington

Workshop on Product Data Exchange
May 2-4, 2007
Santa Barbara, CA

Overview

What is the best way to represent an engineering design?

- Problems with commercial CAD systems
- Limitations of STEP
- Proposal:
 1. A language for engineering design
 2. Open source geometric engine
 3. A minimal standard language for implementation
- Related work
- Summary

Problems with CAD Systems

- Data stored in a proprietary binary format
- You need a license to access your own data!
- Hard to transfer high-level info between systems
- Will data be accessible decades from now?
- Can we have the openness of paper drawings with a digital representation?

Limitations of STEP

- useful operations & geometry types missing:
 - geodesic curves, tapered offset surfaces
- lags behind commercial CAD systems
 - standardization process is slow
 - must compromise differences in systems
 - vendors may be slow to implement
- no programming capability
 - a data-only format is inherently limited in its features (programmability gives extensibility without having to change the standard)
 - customizations not supported

Thus, information usually lost when writing STEP.

Proposal

1. A language for engineering design
2. Open source geometric engine for this language
3. Implementation of geometric engine in a standard minimal declarative language

An Engineering Design Language - EDL

- Textual, human-readable language for engineering design
- Stores definitions of geometry instead of megabytes of data
- Screen image, STEP files, etc., generated from the text definitions
- Interactive system would create & edit the text files
- A domain-specific language for "complex" data (functions + data)

EDL Example

```
(definitions
```

```
...
```

```
(PT3 (coords 5 15 1.5))
```

```
(CRV1 (cubic-spline PT1 PT3 PT4 (project PT2 SURF1))
```

```
(SURF2 (offset 0.1 SURF1))
```

```
(CRV2 (geodesic SURF2 PT1 PT4)) ! geodesic curve
```

```
(ht .5) ! symbolic constants
```

```
(radius .3)
```

```
(depth .2)
```

```
(SOL1 (cuboid (coords 0 0 0) (coords 2 3 ht))
```

```
(hole (coords 1 1.5 ht) radius depth))
```

```
! - cuboid solid with cylindrical hole
```

```
...
```

```
)
```

EDL Example (2)

Language would support new function definitions:

```
(function (Block side)
  (height .5)
  (- (cuboid (coords -side/2 -side/2 0)
            (coords side/2 side/2 height))
     (+ (cylinder (coords -.5 -.5 0) (dir 0 0 1) .25)
        (cylinder (coords .5 .5 0) (dir 0 0 1) .25)))
) )
```

```
(Block1 (Block 2.0))
```

```
(Block2 (Block 2.5))
```

... or

```
(for i in 0..9 do
```

```
  (B<i> (Block 2.0+.25*i))
```

EDL Advantages

- Text definitions are human readable
- Data is stored at a higher semantic level
 - Engineering knowledge can be captured
- Text definitions complement image
 - Image gives fast, intuitive understanding
 - Text gives precise and complete information
- Editing can be done both textually & graphically
 - Some modifications are easier in text: undo/redo
- Complete history and associativity is inherent
- Parametric design is encouraged

EDL Advantages (2)

- Definition of new functions is easier
 - Customizations can be saved
- Better support for design automation
 - Creating new functions for repetitive operations
 - Adding analysis functions for design optimization
- Comments can be added to definitions
- Definitions would be “exact”
 - 0.1, 1/3, cos30deg, geodesic
- Easier design reuse
- Data has modeler independence

The Case for an Open Source Modeler

- Better algorithms
- Enables continuous improvement of EDL
- Understanding and control of approximations
- Identical results on different systems
- Long-term accessibility
- Implementation openness

The Language for EDL Implementation

- this would be the standard – not the EDL
 - allows engineering design language to evolve
- requirements:
 - small & elegant
 - long-lasting (forever?)
 - explicit approximate arithmetic
 - symbolically defined floating point operations
 - identical results down to the last bit
 - efficiency not a requirement for long-term archiving
 - efficiency may not be a requirement for general use if sophisticated optimization is possible
- use minimal functional or logic programming language

My Pick for EDL Implementation

- "axiomatic language" – www.axiomaticlanguage.org
- specification language
 - functions defined without implementation algorithm
- minimal – nothing is built-in
 - easy to standardize
 - approximate arithmetic symbolically defined
- meta-language
 - able to define other languages within itself
 - EDL would be an embedded domain specific language

But automatically transforming specifications to efficient algorithms is an unsolved problem!

Related Work

- XML – Ok, but not a programming language
- Programming APIs – Djinn
- Domain specific languages – EREP, PLaSM
- Embedded DSLs
 - Haskell.org (graphics, animation, music)

Summary

- A language is a better representation for engineering design data
- A better design product
 - Higher level definitions
 - Better documentation and understanding
 - More optimal design
- Greater productivity for the engineer
 - Easier refinement of the design
 - Increased design automation
- Open source definition of EDL is essential
- "axiomatic language" is good candidate

Appendix – Axiomatic Language Semantics

Axioms generate valid expressions.

expression:

an **atom** – a primitive, indivisible element,

an **expression variable**, or

a **sequence** of ≥ 0 expressions and **string variables**

axiom – a **conclusion** expression and ≥ 0 **condition** expressions

Axioms generate **axiom instances** by substituting values for the expression and string variables. (expressions for expression variables; strings of expressions and string variables for string variables)

valid expression – If all the conditions of an axiom instance are valid expressions, then the conclusion is a valid expression.

Valid expressions are interpreted as functions and programs.